

GEOTECHNICAL REPORT REEMS ROAD CHANNEL AND BASIN HALF-MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE AVENUE TO PEORIA AVENUE MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

Project Number: 47724 (1)

Kleinfelder, Inc. 1335 West Auto Drive Tempe, Arizona 85284 (480) 763-1200



September 2004

This document was prepared for use only by the client in accordance with our contract, only for the purposes stated, and within a reasonable time from its issuance. Noncommercial, educational and scientific use of this report by regulatory agencies is registered as a "fair use" and not a violation of copyright. Regulatory agencies may make additional copies of this document for internal use. Copies may also be made available to the public as required by law. The reprint must acknowledge the copyright and indicate that permission to reprint has been received.



September 22, 2004 File No.: 47724 (001)

Mr. Warren Rosebraugh, P.E. Flood Control District of Maricopa County 2801 West Durango Phoenix, Arizona 85009

SUBJECT: Geotechnical Report

Reems Road Channel and Basin

Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue

Maricopa County, Arizona

Dear Mr. Rosebraugh:

Kleinfelder, Inc. (Kleinfelder) is pleased to present this final geotechnical report to Aztec Engineering, Inc. (Aztec) for the proposed construction of the new Reems Road Channel and Basin, which will extend from roughly ½-mile south of Olive Avenue, northward to Peoria Avenue, west of the town of El Mirage, Maricopa County, Arizona. The purpose of our study was to explore and evaluate the subsurface conditions in order to develop geotechnical engineering recommendations for design and construction of the channels, culverts, pipes, and related elements.

Based on the results of our study, the site may be developed as planned using conventional grading and construction techniques. Recommendations regarding the geotechnical aspects of project design and construction are presented in the following report.

Recommendations provided herein are contingent on the provisions outlined in the "Additional Services" and "Limitations" sections of this report. The project Owner should become familiar with these provisions in order to assess further involvement by Kleinfelder and other potential impacts to the proposed project.

We appreciate the opportunity of providing our services for this project. If you have questions regarding this report or if we may be of further assistance, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

KLEINFELDER, INC.

Reviewed By:

Steven A. Haire, P.B. Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Charles E. Reynolds, G.I.T. Geotechnical Department Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Secti</u>	<u>on</u>		<u>]</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0	INT1		ION	
	1.2	Project	Description	1
2.0	FIEI	D EXPL	ORATION	2
	2.1		and Sampling	
	2.2	Paveme	nt Thickness Measurement	3
3.0	LAB	ORATOR	RY TESTING	3
4.0	SITE	CONDI	ΓΙΟΝS	4
	4.1		ic Setting	
	4.2	Site Sur	face Conditions	4
	4.3		nditions	
	4.4	Soil Mo	oisture and Groundwater Conditions	5
5.0	DISC	CUSSION	AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
	5.1	General	L	5
	5.2	Slopes.		5
		5.2.1	General Permanent Channel Slopes Temporary Excavations Construction Considerations ral Backfill and Pipe Bedding Material Swell Earthwork Factors	5
		5.2.2	Permanent Channel Slopes	6
		5.2.3	Temporary Excavations	6
		5.2.4	Construction Considerations and Pipe Bedding Material	7
	5.3	Structur	ral Backfill	7
	5.4	Trench	and Pipe Bedding Material	8
	5.5	Shrink/	Swell Earthwork Factors	8
	5.6	Corrosi	on Potential	9
	5.7	Channe	ls and Basins	11
	5.8	Topsoil	Characteristics	11
	5.9	Box Cu	ilverts	11
		5.9.1	Box Culvert Foundations	12
		5.9.2	Passive Lateral Resistance and Base Friction	12
		5.9.3	Earth Pressures Acting on Box Culvert Walls	12
6.0	CLO	SURE		12
	6.1	Limitat	ions	12
	6.2	Additio	nal Services	13




FIGURES

Plate 1 Site Plan

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Field Study Appendix B – Laboratory Testing



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

In this report we present the results of our geotechnical study for the proposed Reems Road Channel and Basin, which will extend from roughly ½-mile south of Olive Avenue, northward to Peoria Avenue, Maricopa County, Arizona. This site is located west of the town of El Mirage and north of Luke Air Force Base, in the western part of the Phoenix Basin. The project limits are shown on Figure 1. The purpose of the study was to explore and evaluate the subsurface conditions at various locations on the site in order to develop geotechnical engineering recommendations for project design and construction.

Our study included a site reconnaissance, subsurface exploration, representative soil sampling, field and laboratory testing, engineering analyses, and preparation of this report. This report presents recommendations for design and construction of box culverts, stability of channel and basin slopes, earthwork shrink/swell factors, soil corrosivity for pipeline design, and agronomy testing and recommendations for topsoil. The recommendations contained in this report are subject to the limitations presented in the "Limitations" section of this report.

1.2 Project Description

We understand that the proposed construction will include the construction of approximately 7,900 linear feet of trapezoidal open channel and pipe section, along with a retention basin and three box culverts to convey storm water below Peoria Avenue, below Olive Avenue and the adjacent railroad, and below Reems Road at the south end of the project. The preliminary conceptual layout of the project features are shown on the Site Plan, Figure 1.



2.0 FIELD EXPLORATION

The field exploration consisted of drilling test borings to obtain the geotechnical soil profile and excavating by hand at two locations at the edge of the existing Reems Road pavement to observe the existing pavement structure.

2.1 Drilling and Sampling

The drilling and sampling program was performed on August 2 and August 3, 2004, and consisted of drilling ten borings along the project alignment and/or within the retention basin area. The boring locations are shown on the Site Plan, Figure 1. The locations of the borings were estimated by our staff professional based on rough measurements from the limits of existing landmarks; therefore, the locations of the borings shown on the attached Site Plan should be considered approximate. The approximate Latitude and Longitude of each boring was measured in the field using a GPS unit, and coordinates are noted on the test boring logs presented in Appendix A.

The borings were drilled using a truck-mounted drill rig equipped with 6 5/8-inch hollow-stem auger to depths of about 20 feet below the existing ground surface. Geomechanics Southwest Inc. of Phoenix, Arizona was subcontracted to drill these borings. A Kleinfelder, Inc. (Kleinfelder) staff professional observed the drilling operation, classified the encountered soils, prepared boring logs, and collected soil samples for laboratory examination and testing.

Prior to the start of drilling, the Arizona Bluestake Center was contacted to locate existing utilities at the boring locations. Upon completion of the borings, the boreholes were backfilled with soil cuttings.

Relatively undisturbed samples were obtained using a California sampler with a 2.5-inch inside diameter and a 3.0-inch outside diameter. Disturbed samples were obtained using a Standard Penetration/Split-Spoon Sampler (SPT) with a 1.5-inch inside diameter and 2.0-inch outside diameter. The SPT samplers were driven a maximum of 18 inches using a 140-pound hammer



falling 30 inches, and blow counts for successive 6-inch penetration intervals were recorded. California samplers were driven a maximum of 12 inches. Bulk (bag) samples of shallow soils were obtained from the auger cuttings at selected locations.

Soil classifications made in the field from auger cuttings and samples were re-evaluated in the laboratory after further examination and testing. The soils were classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System presented on A-I in Appendix A. Sample classifications, blow counts recorded during sampling, and other related information was recorded on the soil boring logs, which are presented in Appendix A.

2.2 Pavement Thickness Measurement

The pavement structure Reems Road was measured at two locations along Reems Road by hand excavating at the edge of the existing pavement at two locations, adjacent to borings B-3 and B-6. At both locations, the pavement thickness at the shoulder included 2.5-inches of asphalt concrete over about 6 inches aggregate base.

3.0 LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing performed on selected samples obtained during the field exploration for the project included:

- Moisture Content
- Sieve Analysis
- Plasticity Index
- Direct Shear
- Consolidation
- Density
- pH & Resistivity
- Sulfate & Chloride Content
- R-Value



- Moisture-Density Relationship (Standard Proctor)
- Agronomy Testing for Top Soil

The results of the laboratory testing are presented in Appendix B. Included in the agronomy test results are specific recommendations for soil amendments in lbs / 1000 square feet.

4.0 SITE CONDITIONS

4.1 Geologic Setting

The project site is located in the Basin and Range Physiographic Province (Basin and Range) of central Arizona. The Basin and Range is characterized by isolated fault-bounded mountain ranges of igneous, metamorphic, deformed sedimentary, and volcanic rock separated by broad alluvium-filled valleys. The rock units are generally of Precambrian age, with erosional remnants of Paleozoic age rocks and local Cenozoic age volcanics and sediments. Bedrock is not exposed in the project vicinity.

4.2 Site Surface Conditions

The site is currently cultivated agricultural land, and Reems Road is a two-lane asphalt concrete paved road. The existing ground surface is relatively flat, sloping gently down to the southeast with a slope of about 30 feet per mile.

4.3 Soil Conditions

Soils encountered in the upper 5 to 10 feet at the test boring locations consisted primarily of stratified deposits of low to medium plasticity sandy clays and clayey sands (CL and SC). Underlying soils, below about 5 to 10 feet and extending to the depth explored (about 22 feet), consisted of stratified deposits of non-plastic to low plasticity sands and silty sands (SP, SP-SM, and SM), along with low to medium plasticity sandy clays and clayey sands (CL and SC). Soil consistencies of the clayey and silty soils generally ranged from soft to very firm, while the sands



were generally medium dense. Detailed descriptions of the soils encountered at the boring locations are presented on the boring logs in Appendix A.

4.4 Soil Moisture and Groundwater Conditions

Neither regional nor perched groundwater was encountered within any of the borings drilled (to depths of about 22 feet) at the site. Soil moisture contents at the boring locations were described as slightly moist. It should be noted that soil moisture conditions across the site may vary depending on rainfall and/or runoff conditions and may be affected by irrigation in agricultural areas. Groundwater is expected to be encountered at depths generally ranging from about 400 to 500 feet below existing grade, according to regional well information provided by the Arizona Department of Water Resources.

5.0 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 General

Based on the results of our study, the site may be developed as planned using conventional grading and construction techniques. Recommendations regarding the geotechnical aspects of project design and construction are presented in the following sections.

5.2 Slopes

5.2.1 General

Excavations in the site soils can most likely be made by conventional earth moving equipment. All excavations must comply with applicable local, state, and federal safety regulations, including the current Occupational Safety Health Association (OSHA) Excavation and Trench Safety Standards. Construction site safety generally is the sole responsibility of the Contractor, who shall also be solely responsible for the means, methods, and sequencing of construction operations. We are providing the information below solely as a service to our client. Under no



circumstances should the information provided be interpreted to mean that Kleinfelder is assuming responsibility for construction site safety or the Contractor's activities; such responsibility is not being implied and should not be inferred.

5.2.2 Permanent Channel Slopes

We recommend that permanent unprotected cut and fill channel slopes subjected to flowing water be constructed at a gradient no steeper than 2.5H:1V (horizontal to vertical). For cut and fill slopes with concrete slope paving, we recommend they be constructed at a gradient no steeper than 2.0H:1V. To reduce the potential for surface erosion, a berm or "V" ditch should be located at the top of slopes subject to significant overland water flows in order to intercept and redirect surface runoff. To minimize erosion of the slope face due to flowing water, vegetation or gravel surfacing should be considered. Consultation with a landscape architect is recommended to establish the design slope for vegetated channels, and slopes as flat as 3H:1V may be desirable to aid in establishing and maintaining plant growth.

5.2.3 Temporary Excavations

Soils encountered at the boring locations consisted predominately sandy clays and clayey sands in the upper 5 to 10 feet. These soils would be considered Type B soil when applying the OSHA regulations. For these soil types, OSHA recommends a maximum slope inclination of 1H:1V or flatter. Steeper cut slopes may be utilized for excavations less than five feet deep depending on the strength, moisture content, and homogeneity of the soils as observed in the field.

Underlying soils, below about 5 to 10 feet and extending to the depth explored (about 22 feet), consisted of stratified deposits of non-plastic to low plasticity sands and silty sands (SP, SP-SM, and SM), along with low to medium plasticity sandy clays and clayey sands (CL and SC). The silty sands and sands would be considered Type C soil when applying the OSHA regulations. For these soil types, OSHA recommends a maximum slope inclination of 1.5H:1V or flatter for excavation less than 20 feet deep.



The Contractor should be aware that slope height, slope inclination, or excavation depths (including utility trench excavations) should in no case exceed those specified in local, state, and/or federal safety regulations (e.g., OSHA Health and Safety Standards for Excavations, 29 CFR Part 1926, or successor regulations). Such regulations are strictly enforced and, if they are not followed, the Owner, Contractor, and/or earthwork and utility subcontractors could be liable for substantial penalties.

5.2.4 Construction Considerations

Heavy construction equipment, building materials, excavated soil, and vehicular traffic should not be allowed within 1/3 the slope height from the top of any excavation. Where the stability of adjoining walls or other structures is endangered by excavation operations, support systems such as shoring, bracing, or underpinning may be required to provide structural stability and to protect personnel working within the excavation. A professional engineer registered in the State of Arizona should design shoring, bracing, or underpinning required for the project (if any).

Earthen berms or other methods should be used to prevent runoff water from entering all excavations. All runoff water should be collected and disposed of outside the construction limits.

5.3 Structural Backfill

Structural backfill should be used against concrete structures designed to resist earth loads, such as box culverts, wingwalls and retaining walls. All structural backfill should meet the material requirements of Section 701.2.1 Crushed Rock, and the gradation requirements for Select Material, Type A or B in Table 702-1, both of the M.A.G. Uniform Standard Specifications.



5.4 Trench and Pipe Bedding Material

Pipe bedding backfill (i.e., material from the bottom of the pipe to the springline of the pipe) should consist of ½ Sack or 1 Sack Controlled Low Strength Material (CLSM) slurry backfill in accordance with M.A.G. Section 728 and placement per M.A.G. Section 604.

Trench zone backfill (i.e., material placed between the pipe springline and finished subgrade) may consist of native soil from areas of on-site excavation. Compaction of trench backfill above the CLSM pipe bedding material shall be in accordance with the requirements of Section 601.4.4 of the M.A.G. Specifications. Within pavement areas, trench backfill should be compacted to at least 100 percent relative compaction within two feet of finished subgrade. Mechanical compaction is recommended; ponding or jetting should not be allowed, especially in areas supporting structural loads or beneath concrete slabs supported-on-grade, pavements, or other improvements.

Recommendations provided above for pipe zone backfill are minimum requirements only. More stringent material specifications may be required to fulfill local codes and/or bedding requirements for specific types of pipes. We recommend the project Civil Engineer develop these material specifications based on planned pipe types, bedding conditions, and other factors beyond the scope of this study.

5.5 Shrink/Swell Earthwork Factors

For soils excavated on-site and then placed in compacted embankment or trench fills, earthwork shrink/swell factors (volume change) were estimated as part of our study. The estimated shrink/swell factors presented below were based primarily on comparison between in-situ densities from driven ring samples and laboratory compaction tests, and engineering judgment based on detailed boring logs. Estimated earthwork factors vary depending on the degree of compaction, as presented below:



Relative Compaction Based on Percentage of AASHTO T-99 (Standard Proctor) Maximum Density	Approximate Earthwork Shrinkage
85%	5% swell
90%	0% shrink
95%	5% shrink
100%	10% shrink

5.6 Corrosion Potential

Corrosion of buried metal is an electrochemical process in which the amount of metal loss due to corrosion is directly proportional to the flow of electrical current (DC) from the metal into the soil. As soil's resistivity decreases, its corrosivity increases. A commonly accepted correlation between soil resistivity and corrosivity towards ferrous metals is provided below:

Resistivity in ohm-centimeters	Corrosivity Category
0 to1,000	Severely Corrosive
1,000 to 2,000	Corrosive
2,000 to 10,000	Moderately Corrosive
Over 10,000	Mildly Corrosive

Results of the laboratory testing for pH, resistivity, soluble sulfates, and soluble chlorides are presented in the following table:

Location	Depth (ft)	рH	Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Soluble Sulfates (ppm)	Soluble Chlorides (ppm)
B-1	3-10	8.0	903	49	45
B-3	3-10	7.9	1966		
B-5	3-10	8.1	1550	27	13
B-6	3-10	7.9	848		
B-8	3-10	8.0	1074	46	38

Based on laboratory testing, minimum resistivities of between 848 and 1966 ohm-cm indicate that on-site soils would be categorized as corrosive to severely corrosive toward ferrous metals.



Arizona Department of Transportation has established design criteria based on soil resistivity and pH for determining the life of corrugated steel pipe. Based on the resistivity of 848 ohms-cm and a pH of 7.9, a life of 23 years for corrugated 18-gage galvanized steel pipe for dry soil conditions is predicted by the ADOT design criteria. This predicted life will be reduced for moist soil conditions, which may develop due to irrigation and runoff.


Protection from corrosion may be necessary for metallic conduits. While in dry field conditions of our arid environment, these soils may not contribute to significant corrosion; however, increases in soil moisture may result in reduced resistivities, and thus, could increase the potential for corrosion. According to ADOT's MPE&D Manual the following types of culvert pipe may be used for various resistivity ranges:

- For resistivities greater than 2000 ohm-cm, galvanized-coated steel AASHTO M-36, aluminum coated steel AASHTO M-36, aluminum alloy AASHTO M-196 or bituminous-coated AASHTO M-190 pipe should be used.
- For resistivities between 500 and 1999 ohm-cm, aluminum alloy AASHTO M-196 or bituminous-coated AASHTO M-190 pipe should be used.
- For resistivities less than 500 ohm-cm, bituminous coated AASHTO M-190 pipe should be used.

The above-recommended culvert types are applicable for soils with a pH in the range of 5.0 to 9.0.

• Regardless of resistivity, for pH greater than 7.2, bituminous-coated AASHTO M-190 pipe may be used.

Laboratory tests indicate pH values vary between 7.9 and 8.1. Laboratory tests show chloride contents between 13 ppm and 45 ppm, indicating a negligible corrosion potential to concrete reinforcing steel.



Based on laboratory results, sulfate (SO₄) contents vary between 27 ppm and 49 ppm. Therefore, special precautions are not expected to be necessary to protect concrete, and Type II cement may be used for concrete in contact with soil.

5.7 Channels and Basins

Site soils are acceptable for placement of conventional concrete linings. Overexcavation recommended for placement of embankment fills is not necessary for concrete channel linings; however, soil near the existing ground surface should be moisture conditioned and compacted in accordance with the Standard Specifications. Cutoffs for channel linings should extend a minimum of 2.0 feet below the top of the channel lining where no overland flow is expected. Where flow is expected to be directed over the top of the lining, cutoffs should extend a minimum of 4.0 feet below the top of the channel lining.

Cutoff walls for inlet structures adjacent to concrete linings should extend a minimum of five feet below the invert elevation of the inlet structure.

5.8 Topsoil Characteristics

The results of the laboratory testing are presented in Appendix B. Included in the agronomy test results are specific recommendations for soil amendments in lbs / 1000 square feet for the growth of grass (turf).

5.9 Box Culverts

As shown on the Site Plan, box culverts will be used to convey storm water below Peoria Avenue, below Olive Avenue and the adjacent railroad, and below Reems Road at the south end of the project. Foundation and earth pressure recommendations are presented in the following sections.



5.9.1 Box Culvert Foundations

For box culverts bearing on undisturbed native soils or compacted structure backfill, an allowable bearing pressure of 2500 pounds per square foot is recommended for pipe or box structures at a depth of three feet or more below finished grade.

5.9.2 Passive Lateral Resistance and Base Friction

A passive soil resistance of 350 psf per foot of depth is recommended against the edges of footings or box culverts in contact with properly compacted structure backfill.

A coefficient of friction of 0.35 is recommended for computing the lateral resistance between the bases of footings and slabs and the soils when analyzing lateral loads. Should a key be utilized beneath the footing, the coefficient of friction can be increased to 0.60.

5.9.3 Earth Pressures Acting on Box Culvert Walls

Rigid foundation elements that will not experience any lateral movement or rotation will be subjected to at-rest earth pressures represented by a triangular hydrostatic diagram of 55 pcf per foot of depth for level backfill. Foundation elements, which are not restrained, will be subjected to active state earth pressures represented by a triangular pressure diagram equivalent to 35 psf per foot of depth for level backfill.

6.0 CLOSURE

6.1 Limitations

The recommendations contained in this report are based on our field explorations, laboratory tests, and our understanding of the proposed construction. The subsurface data used in the preparation of this report were obtained from the borings drilled during the field study. It is anticipated that some variations in the soil conditions will exist between the points explored. The



nature and extent of variations may not be evident until construction occurs. If any conditions are encountered at this site that are different from those described in this report, our firm should be immediately notified so that we may make any necessary revisions to the recommendations contained in this report. In addition, if the scope of the proposed construction changes from that described in this report, our firm should also be notified. This report was prepared in accordance with the generally accepted standard of practice in Arizona at the time the report was written. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made. It is the Client's responsibility to see that all parties to the project including the Designer, Contractor, Subcontractors, etc. are made aware of this report in its entirety. The use of information contained in this report for bidding purposes should be done at the Contractor's option and risk.


This report may be used only by the client and only for the purposes stated, within a reasonable time from its issuance. Land use, site conditions (both on- and off-site) or other factors may change over time, and additional work may be required with the passage of time. Any party other than the client who wishes to use this report shall notify Kleinfelder of such intended use. Based on the intended use of the report, Kleinfelder may require that additional work be performed and that an updated report be issued. Non-compliance with any of these requirements by the client or anyone else will release Kleinfelder from any liability resulting from the use of this report by any unauthorized party.


6.2 Additional Services


The recommendations provided in this report are based on the assumption that an adequate program of tests and observations will be performed during the construction to verify compliance with these recommendations. These tests and observations should include, but are not necessarily limited to observations and testing during site preparation and earthwork and consultation as may be required during construction.



We also recommend that we review project plans and specifications to verify compatibility with our conclusions and recommendations. Additional information concerning the scope and cost of these services can be obtained from our office.







UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

	MAJOR DIVISION	NS		SCS MBOL	TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS
		CLEAN GRAVELS WITH LESS THAN	***	GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES
	GRAVELS (More than half of	5% PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES
	coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)	GRAVELS WITH		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SILT-SAND MIXTURES
COARSE GRAINED SOILS		OVER 12% PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAY MIXTURES
(More than half of material is larger than		CLEAN SANDS WITH LESS THAN	表 表 我	SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES
the #200 sieve)	SANDS (More than half of	5% PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES
	coarse fraction is smaller than the #4 sieve)	SANDS WITH OVER		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL-SILT MIXTURES
		12% PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE		SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-GRAVEL-CLAY MIXTURES
				ML	INORGANIC SILTS & VERY FINE SANDS, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS. CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
		ND CLAYS it less than 50)		CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
FINE GRAINED SOILS				OL	ORGANIC SILTS & ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
(More than half of material is smaller than				МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILT
the #200 sieve)		ND CLAYS greater than 50)		СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS & ORGANIC SILTS OF MEDIUM-TO-HIGH PLASTICITY

Note: Fine grained soils that plot within the hatched area on the Plasticity Chart, and coarse grained soils with between 5% and 12% passing No. 200 sieve require dual USCS symbols. (See KEY A-3 if provided)



RGP

Project Number:

47724

Reems Road Channel and Basin Flood Control District of Maricopa County

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona

A-1

KEY

GEO-KEY_A1_SOIL 47724.GPJ 09/02/2004

Date: September, 2004

Drafted By:

LOG SYMBOLS



BULK / GRAB SAMPLE



NON-STANDARD PENETRATION SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER (1.5-inch O.D. X 0.9-inch I.D.)



MODIFIED CALIFORNIA SAMPLER (2 inch inside diameter)



BDBGM SIZE CORE BARREL (1.65-inch I.D.)



RING (PORTER) SAMPLER (2.4 - inch inside diameter)



BW44 SIZE CORE BARREL (1.75-inch I.D.)



STANDARD PENETRATION SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER (2.0-inch O.D. X 1.4-inch I.D.)



HQ-3 SIZE CORE BARREL (2.4-inch I.D.)



NON-STANDARD PENETRATION SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER (2.5-inch O.D. X 2.0-ineh I.D.)



SHELBY TUBE (3 inch outside diameter)



WATER LEVEL (level after completion)



WATER LEVEL (level where first encountered)

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. Lines separating strata on the logs represent approximate boundaries only. Actual transitions may be gradual.
- 2. No warranty is provided as to the continuity of soil or rock conditions between individual sample locations.
- 3. Logs represent general soil or rock conditions observed at the point of exploration on the date indicated.
- 4. In general, the Unified Soil Classification designations presented on the logs were based on visual classification in the field, modified where appropriate by visual classifications in the office, and/or laboratory gradation and index testing.
- 5. NA = Not Analyzed



Drafted By:

KLEINFELDER


RGP Date: September, 2004 Project Number: 47724


LOG KEY

Reems Road Channel and Basin Flood Control District of Maricopa County Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona

KEY

A-2





DEFINITIONS OF SOIL FRACTIONS

SOIL FRACTION

PARTICLE SIZE RANGE

Boulders Cobbles Coarse Gravel Fine Gravel Coarse Sand Medium Sand Fine Sand Fines

Greater than 300mm (12in.) 300mm to 75mm (12in, to 3in.) 75mm to 19mm (3in. to 3/4in.) 19mm (3/4in.) to No. 4 sieve No. 4 sieve to No. 10 sieve No. 10 sieve to No. 40 sieve No. 40 sieve to No. 200 sieve less than No. 200 sieve



KLEINFELDER

47724

Drafted By: RGP Project Number: Date: September, 2004

CHARTS & DEFINITIONS

Reems Road Channel and Basin Flood Control District of Maricopa County Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona

KEY

A-3

TERMINOLOGY USED ON THE BORING LOGS TO DESCRIBE THE FIRMNESS, DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY OF SOILS

The standard penetration resistance (N) in blows per foot is obtained by the ASTM D1586 procedure using 2" O.D., 1 3/8" I.D. samplers.

1. Terms for description of partially saturated and/or cemented soils including clays, cemented granular materials, silts and silty and clayey granular soils.

N	Relative Firmness
0 - 4	Very soft
5 - 8	soft
9 - 15	Moderately firm
16 - 30	Firm
31 - 50	Very firm
51+	<u>Hard</u>

2. Terms for description of cohesionless, uncemented sands and sand-gravel mixtures.

N	Relative Density
0 - 4	Very loose
5 - 10	Loose
11 - 30	Medium dense
31 - 50	Dense
51+	Very dense

3. Terms for description of clays which are saturated or near saturation.

N	Relative Consistency
0 - 2	Very soft
3 - 4	soft
5 - 8	Moderately stiff
9 - 15	Stiff
16 - 30	Very Stiff
31+	<u>Натд</u>

KLEINFELDER

RGP Project Number: 2004 47724

TERMINOLOGY USED TO DESCRIBE SOILS

Reems Road Channel and Basin Flood Control District of Maricopa County Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona KEY

A-4

Drafted By:

	iametei er Type			omatic			ווחט	ıng M	ethod	٠ -	Hollow Stem Auger	-	Total E	Depth (ft):	21.5
			FIEL					LA	BORA	TOR	Y	7			
_				т —		<u> </u>							ation		DESCRIPTION
ELEVATION (ft)	(#)	Sample Interval	Blow Counts per 6" Interval	Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf)	/ (bct)	re re rt (%)	Limit	Plasticity Index	Passing #4 Sieve (%)	g ileve (%)		Graphical Log	USCS Classification		0.0 to 21.5 feet
 - -	рертн (ft)	Sample	Blow C per 6"	Continu	Dry Densif	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plastic	Passin #4 Sie	Passin #200 S	Other	Graphì		Surface	Condition: Sparse vegetation
			7 13 10			w 1000 train	27	11 	99	64	pH=8.0 Resistivity=903 ohm-cm		CL		, with gravel, brown, slightly low plasticity, moderate n
	5 -		31/12		119	 9.1 							sc	slightly mo	d, fine grained sand, brown, st, very firm to firm, low to asticity, moderate to strong in
	10 —	-	12 11 12] 		 							
		-	12					; ; ; ; ; ;							
	15 -		9 11 10			 	37	 21 	98	52			CL		
	20 —		7			; 		 					SP-SM		ne silt, medium to coarse grai
			7 6			 		 		 	-			nonplastic Boring term	inated at 21.5 feet topped at 21.5 feet
						! 		! 		 					

Drafted By: Date: September, 2004

RGP Project Number: 47724

Flood Control District of Maricopa County Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona

B-1

EFEVATION (ft) Hole Dia Hammer	water (Compa ameter	(ft): any: r (in)	GSI : 6.5/	Free G I /8 omatic	roundv		ncou Equ	ipme ing M	nt: lethod	ATOF			Logge	Completed: ed By; Depth (ft):	8/2/2004 Kevin Brooks 21.5	
Hole Dia Hammer	ameter r Type	r (in)	Blow Counts Per 6" Interval 8	/8 omatic D		Aoisture	Drill	ing M	ABOR/	ATOF	Hollow Stem Auger		Total		21.5	<u> </u>
Hammer 	г Туре		Blow Counts per 6" Interval appear 1	omatic D		Aoisture			ABORA	ATOF	RY		-	Depth (ft):		
_			Blow Counts per 6" Interval	D I		foisture Content (%)			ABORA	ATOF			-	Depth (ft):		
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	Sample Interval	^{ω σ} per 6" Interval		Dry Density (pcf)	Aoisture Content (%)	d Limit						lion		DE OCCUPTION	
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	Sample Interval	6 8	Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf)	Dry Density (pcf)	foisture Content (%)	d Limit	y Index	(%)	 (§		1	ţjo			
ELEVATION (fl	DEPTH (ft)	Sample Interva	6 8	Continuous Per Resistance (bpi	Dry Density (pcf)	foisture Content (%)	d Limit	y Index	(%)	! %	·		ω.		DESCRIPTION 0.0 to 21.5 feet	
ELEVATIO	DEPTH (ft)	Sample Into	6 8	Continuous Resistance	Dry Density (pc	noisture Content (%	d Eimif	 	-	ı ~		5o	sific		0.0 to 21.5 feet	
			6 8			, ~~ `	Liqui	- — — - Plasticit	Passing #4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve	Other	Graphical Log	USCS Classification	Surface	e Condition: Sparse vegeta	ation
		₩											CL	moist, mod	r, some gravel, brown, si lerately firm, low to mediu noderate cementation	
	5 -		10 15 24	i significa	112	3.9 	,	 		 			sc	Clayey Sar sand, brow plasticity	id, medium to coarse grand in the coarse grand	ained I, low
	10 —		33/12		106	 		 		 	Direct Shear		SP	Sand, trace light brown	e clay, coarse grained sa n, slightly moist, dense, no	nd, inpla
	15 -		14 19 19				22	 	95	 			sc	medium g	nd, trace graveł, predom rained sand, brown, slight ow plasticity	inate ly mo
	- 20 — -		12 16 17					 					CL	firm, low to	y, brown, slightly moist, vomedium plasticity ninated at 21.5 feet topped at 21.5 feet	
	- 25					 		 		 				Caved to 1		
<u> </u>																

GEO_ADOT_EW/EL 47724.GPJ 09/08/2004

Drafted By: RGP Date: September, 2004

Project Number: 47724 Flood Control District of Maricopa County
Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue
Maricopa County, Arizona

B-2

Date Started: 8/2/2004 Northing and Easting: N33 deg. 34.418' W112 deg. 23.626' Date Completed: 8/2/2004 Groundwater (ft): No Free Groundwater Encountered **Drilling Company:** CME-75 Equipment: Logged By: Kevin Brooks 6 5/8 Hollow Stem Auger Hole Diameter (in): Drilling Method: Total Depth (ft): Hammer Type: Automatic 21.5 FIELD LABORATORY DESCRIPTION JSCS Classification Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf) 0.0 to 21.5 feet ELEVATION (ft) Sample Interval Blow Counts per 6" Interval Plasticity Index Dry Density (pcf) Moisture Content (%) Passing
#4 Sieve (%)
Passing
#200 Sieve (%) Graphical Log iquid Limit DEPTH (ft) Surface Condition: Sparse vegetation Other Tests 12 Sandy Clay, with gravel, brown, slightly 11 moist, firm to moderately firm, low to medium plasticity pH=7.9 Resistivity=1966 ohm-cr Note: same as above except fine grained 3 sand and nonplastic at 5' 10 13 SP Sand, trace clay, with gravel, coarse 11 grained sand, light brown, slightly moist, dense, nonplastic 15 24/12 CL 125 37 19 98 56 Sandy Clay, light brown, slightly moist, firm, low to medium plasticity 20 14 13 Boring terminated at 21.5 feet Sampling stopped at 21.5 feet Caved to 13.0 feet **BORING** LOG OF BORING B-3 KLEINFELDER Reems Road Channel and Basin

EW/EL 47724.GPJ 09/08/2004

Drafted By: **RGP** Date: September, 2004 Project Number: 47724 Flood Control District of Maricopa County Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona

	ng and E dwater (ing: <u>N3</u>	3 deg. : Free G								_		Started: 8/2/2004 Completed: 8/2/2004	<u> </u>
	g Compa		GS		il Odrici	WOLE! L		ipme			CME-75	_	Logae	ed By: Kevin Brooks	
	Diameter								 lethoc	l: .	Hollow Stem Auger	_	99	<u> </u>	
	тег Туре			omatic							•	_	Total	Depth (ft): 21.5	
	<u> </u>		FIEL	D				LA	ABORA	ATOR	RY		· 	DESCRIPTIO	
£		-		i € €		 				-			Satio	0.0 to 21.5 fee	
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	Sample Interva	Blow Counts per 6" Interval	Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf)	Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	Passing #4 Sieve (%)	bassing — — ** #200 Sieve (%	Other	Graphical Log	USCS Classification	Surface Condition: Spare	
			8 10 9				29	— 14 	100	74 74 			CL	Sandy Clay, light brown, sli firm to very firm, low to med	
	5 -		40/12		99	 15.2 		 		 	Direct Shear				
	10 —		9 12 13			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		 		! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !			SC	Clayey Sand, fine grained s brown, slightly moist, firm, to	
	15 —		9 14 15			 	36	 17 	98	 			CL	Sandy Clay, trace gravel, li slightly moist, firm, medium	
	20 —		11 26 28											Boring terminated at 21.5 fer Sampling stopped at 21.5 fer Caved to 13.5 feet	et et
	- 25					 		 		 					1
	H	(L	EIN	IFE	LD	EF	₹				F BORING B-4 Road Channel ar	nd Ba	asin		BORING

GEO_ADOT_EW/EL 47724,GPJ 09/08/2004

Drafted By: RGP

Date: September, 2004

Project Number: 47724

Flood Control District of Maricopa County
Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue
Maricopa County, Arizona

enilina Seilina	Comp		_	Free G	1001101	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					CME-75	_	Logge	d By: Kevin Brooks
	iamete	-			<u>-</u>			ipmei ina M	n: ethod		Hollow Stem Auger	_	Logge	d by. Reviil blocks
	er Type			tomatic			וווזיט	ing M	ธนา O U	•		_	Total (Depth (ft): 21.5
			FIEL					LA	BORA	ATOR	Υ			DESCRIPTION
_								$\overline{}$				1	ation	DESCRIPTION On to 21 F foot
E Z		erva	rs val	Per (bpl	€			dex	(s)	(%)		bo.	sific	0.0 to 21.5 feet
ELEVATION (ft)	ОЕРТН (ft)	Sample Interval	Blow Counts per 6" Interval	Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf)	Dry Density (pc	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	Passing #4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve	Other	Graphical Log	USCS Classification	Surface Condition: Sparse vegetation
			7 14 16				24	6 []	100	59 			CL-ML	Sandy Silty Clay, with gravel, fine to medium grained sand, light brown, slightl moist, firm to soft, low plasticity
	5 -		5			 		! 		 	pH=8.1 Resistivity=1550 ohm-cn			Note: no gravel at 5'
		7	3			 		 		1 1 1 1 1				
	10 —		16/12		115	 6.0	NV	 NP	81	 10			SP-SM	Carre, como ant, mo to modalin gibinos
						 		 		 				sand, brown, siightly moist, medium den nonplastic
	15 -		5 6 7			 		 		 				Note: trace gravel and fine to coarse gra sand at 15'
	20 —		9 12 15			 		 		 				Note: weak cementation at 20'
		-				 				† 		F		Boring terminated at 21.5 feet Sampling stopped at 21.5 feet Caved to 14.0 feet
	25			<u> </u>	L	L	1	1	L	1			<u>i </u>	
								T			 -			BORIN

Drafted By: RGP Date: September, 2004

Project Number: 47724 Flood Control District of Maricopa County Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona

B-5

iround Milling				Free G	Ound	water E					CME-75	_	Logge	d By: Kevin Brooks
rilling :			GS 6 5					ipme			Hollow Stem Auger	_	Lugge	A Dy. REVIII BIOOKS
lole Dia Iamme				tomatic			טווו	ing IV	lethoo	٠		-	Total I	Depth (ft): 21.5
		\top	FIEL				LABORATORY							DECORIGION
				$\overline{}$		<u> </u>						1	atior	DESCRIPTION
E Z	_	erva	ts Val	Per (bpf	E	ر ا	۔ ا	l dex	(%	%		go.	ssific	0.0 to 21.5 feet
ELEVATION (ft) DEPTH (ft)	DЕРТН (ft)	Sample Interval	Sample Interve Blow Counts per 6" Interval	per 6" Interval Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf)	Dry Density (po	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	Passing #4 Sieve (%)	Passing — — — #200 Sieve (%)	Other	Graphical Log	USCS Classification	Surface Condition: Sparse vegetati
			3 6			! !	28	14	93	61			CL.	Sandy Clay, brown, slightly moist, moderately firm to firm, low plasticity
		- T	12					; 		İ				
		₩) }		 				
						į		ĺ		į	pH=7,9 Resistivity=848 ahm-cm			
		₩				} {		 		 	. colocing one difficult			
	5	_	,			1	 							
	-		4 4	}		1	31	! 9 }	99	57 				
			9		ļ	! [i I		1				
						į		į		į				
						1 		! 		[]				
		1				1		 		1				
						i		! 						
	• -				ļ	 		[
	10 -		5			į		!	Ì	Ì			SP-SM	Sand, some silt, fine to medium grain
		4	4			! !		 		 				sand, brown, slightly moist, loose, no
			,			į		ĺ		ĺ				
		1				, 		! 						
		4			<u> </u>] 		! {		 				
		1				į		į		İ				
					1]		; 		1			1	
	15 -		19/12		110	6.3	Ì	 		1	Direct Shear		SM	Silty Sand, with gravel, light brown, s
						İ				[moist, firm to very firm, low to mediur
					l 	! 		 					•	plasticity, medium cementation
		1						İ		İ				
		1				! 		! 		1			·! ·!	
						 i		[[1]	
		1				ļ		Ì		[:	
	20 —	\bot	15			 		} !		[]			- -	
		1	20			ļ		İ]				
			14			<u> </u>		<u> </u>				1	4	
						} \$		l L		1				Boring terminated at 21.5 feet Sampling stopped at 21.5 feet
								į						Caved to 12.5 feet
] 		1				
						}		į		į				
_	25					! 	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1				<u></u>
	_							- }	100	~ ~	F BORING B-6			BOF

Drafted By:

Date: September, 2004

Project Number:

47724

RGP

Flood Control District of Maricopa County Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona

B-6

N	orthing and Easting: N33 deg. 33.708' W112 deg. 23.622'							Date Started: 8/2/2004								
	Groundwater (ft): No Free Groundwater Encor												Date C	Completed: <u>8/2/2004</u>		
Di	Drilling Company:			GS				Equ	Equipment: CME-75					Logge	d By: Kevin Brooks	_
_ I		amete _						Drill	ling M	1ethod	: _	Hollow Stem Auger	_			
H:	amme -	т Туре	e:	_ <u>Au</u>	tomatic									Total [Depth (ft): 21.5	
				FIEL	D	D				LABORATORY					DESCRIPTION	
§	E		<u>a</u>	_	en.		 		l I×		। ुः			catíc	0.0 to 21.5 fee	et
2	ELEVATION (M)	£	Sample Interval	Blow Counts per 6" Interval	Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf)	Dry Density (pcf)	l (%	=	- — — — — Plasticity Index	Passing #4 Sieve (%)	6) -		Graphical Log	Classification	.	
F	= €	E	elc F	Courtie	nuoi	(t	ent (글	city	eve	Sie		Jical	ا رِيِّر	Surface Condition Spars	se vegetation
ĺ	Ú	DEРТН (ft)	ami	Slow er 6	Cesis)ry Jens	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit		ass 44 Si	200	Other	srapl	uscs (3 - -
ļ:				4 4 9			 		the state time track clim		 			CL	Sandy Clay, with gravel, ligh slightly moist, moderately fin plasticity	it brown, m to soft, low
]]] 					
							į		į							
		5 -	***	3			! 	38	 ₁₈	100	1 1 ₆₄					
				5 5			 		 		 					
				5			1		1		[
			1				į	ļ	İ							
			1				 		 		 [
]				[]		1					
1							į		į		į					
		10 —		6	\		 		 		 					_
Į.			A	6 7] I		 		 					
				,			į		į		į					
			1				1		 		 					
			$\{ \mid$				1		1		 					
			↓ \				j 1		į		j					
									İ		1			١		
		15 -		5			8.5		1		 			SM	Silty Sand, medium to coarse	
1				6 8]	 				İ				brown, slightly moist, moder plasticity	rately firm, low
				_			į		į		ļ				pidolioky	
l			1				 		\ 		i i					
							į		į		į					
		20					! 		! 		} 			:		
		20		6 6			 		 		1 1			SP-SM	Sand, some silt, coarse to n	
				5			 				l I]	sand, brown, slightly moist, nonplastic	meaium dense,
							!		i		i	_	, , ,	1	Boring terminated at 21.5 fee	 et
]]		[[) i				Sampling stopped at 21.5 fee Caved to 12.0 feet	et
			1]]] 		 					
			+				, !		į							
<u> </u>		25							1		1	<u> </u>				
																,
		_				_	_			LOC	S OI	BORING B-7				BORING
	Δ		K L	EIN	4 F E	LD	EF	?		Ree	ms l	Road Channel ar				
├ ─										Floo	d Co	ontrol District of I	Marie A	copa (County	B-7
l	afted E			RGP	Projec				1			e South of Olive and County, Arizon		iue to	Peoria Avenue	
Dat	Date: September, 2004 47724 Maricopa County, Ariz										p		_			Page 1 of 1

Date Started: 8/2/2004 Northing and Easting: N33 deg. 33.543' W112 deg. 23.260' Date Completed: 8/2/2004 Groundwater (ft): No Free Groundwater Encountered Drilling Company: CME-75 GSI Equipment: Logged By: Kevin Brooks 6 5/8 Hollow Stem Auger Hole Diameter (in): Drilling Method: Hammer Type: Automatic Total Depth (ft): 21.5 FIELD LABORATORY DESCRIPTION **USCS** Classification 0.0 to 21.5 feet Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf) ELEVATION (ft) Sample Interval Blow Counts per 6" Interval Plasticity Index Graphical Log Dry Density (pcf) Moisture Content (%) Passing #4 Sieve (%) Passing #200 Sieve (%) Liquid Limit DEPTH (ft) Surface Condition: Sparse vegetation Other Tests Sandy Clay, light brown, slightly moist, 6 moderately firm, low plasticity pH=8.0 Resistivity=1074 ohm-cm 26 99 44 SC 14 Clayey Sand, predominately medium 3 grained sand, red-brown, slightly moist, soft, low plasticity 10 13.3 SM Silty Sand, fine grained sand, brown, slightly moist, firm, low plasticity 15 CL Sandy Clay, brown, slightly moist, firm, low to medium plasticity 20 5 14 13 Boring terminated at 21.5 feet Sampling stopped at 21.5 feet Caved to 13.0 feet BORING LOG OF BORING B-8 KLEINFELDER Reems Road Channel and Basin

RGP Drafted By:

Project Number: Date: September, 2004 47724

Flood Control District of Maricopa County Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona

B-8

Page 1 of 1

Copyright Kleinfelder, Inc. 2004

Date Started: 8/3/2004 Northing and Easting: N33 deg. 34.404' W112 deg. 23.839' Date Completed: 8/3/2004 No Free Groundwater Encountered Groundwater (ft): GSI Logged By: Kevin Brooks **Drilling Company:** Equipment: CME-75 6 5/8 Hollow Stem Auger Hole Diameter (in): Drilling Method: Total Depth (ft): 21.5 Hammer Type: Automatic FIELD LABORATORY DESCRIPTION USCS Classification Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf) 0.0 to 21.5 feet Passing
#4 Sieve (%)
Passing
#200 Sieve (%) EVATION (ft) Sample interval Blow Counts per 6" Interval Plasticity Index Graphical Log Dry
Density (pcf)
Moisture
Content (%) Liquid Limit DEPTH (ft) Surface Condition: Sparse vegetation Other Tests 딥 Sandy Clay, light brown, slightly moist, soft, low plasticity 97 60 41 26 20/12 109 8.8 28 97 47 Direct Shear SC 11 Clayey Sand, fine grained sand, brown, slightly moist, firm, low plasticity 10 CL Sandy Clay, brown, slightly moist, firm, low 9 plasticity 10 15 7 SE Sand, trace clay, predominately fine 9 grained sand, brown, slightly moist, medium dense, nonplastic 10 20 CL 5 Sandy Clay, brown, slightly moist, firm, low 9 plasticity Boring terminated at 21.5 feet Sampling stopped at 21.5 feet Caved to 15.0 feet BORING LOG OF BORING B-9 KLEINFELDER Reems Road Channel and Basin

SEO ADOT EW/EL 47724.GPJ 09/9


Drafted By: RGP Project Number:
Date: September, 2004 47724

Reems Road Channel and Basin Flood Control District of Maricopa County Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona

B-9

Hole Diameter (Hammer Type:								Drilling Method: Hollow Stem Auger					Total (Depth (ft):21.5
			FIEL				LABORATORY							DESCRIPTION
ELEVATION (ft) DEPTH (ft)		Syl	s /al	/al Pen. (bpf)		 	į	dex	(6)	(%)		5o	sificatio	0.0 to 21.5 feet
	Sample Interval	Blow Counts per 6" Interval	Continuous Pen. Resistance (bpf)	Dry Density (pc	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit		Passing #4 Sieve (%) Passing	#200 Seve	Other Tests	Graphical Log	USCS Classification	Surface Condition: Sparse vegetatio	
	5		6 6 3 3 2 5										CL	Sandy Clay, light brown, slightly moist, moderately firm to soft, low plasticity
	10 —		16/12		117	6.7					Direct Shear		SP	Sand, trace clay, with gravel, coarse grained sand, light brown, slightly mois
	15 -	1	5 14 10				,							medium dense, nonplastic
	20 —		5 8 7						1 1				SP-SM	Sand, some silt, with gravel, coarse to medium grained sand, brown, slightly medium dense, nonplastic Boring terminated at 21.5 feet
	- 3.5													Sampling stopped at 21.5 feet Caved to 14.5 feet

Drafted By: RGP Project Number: Date: September, 2004 47724 Half-mile South of Olive Avenue to Peoria Avenue Maricopa County, Arizona



APPENDIX B LABORATORY TESTING

LABORATORY TESTS

Laboratory tests were performed on selected samples to aid in soil classification and to evaluate physical properties of the soils, which may affect the geotechnical aspects of project design and construction. A description of the geotechnical laboratory testing program is presented below.

Moisture Content and Dry Unit Weight

Moisture content and dry unit weight tests were performed to evaluate moisture-conditioning requirements during site preparation and earthwork grading; soil overburden, and active and passive earth pressures; and relative soil strength and compressibility. Moisture content was evaluated in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D 2216; dry unit weight was evaluated using procedures similar to ASTM Test Method D 2937.

Sieve Analysis

Sieve analyses were performed to evaluate the gradational characteristics of the material and to aid in soil classification. Tests were performed in general accordance with ARIZ 201b.

Atterberg Limits

Atterberg Limits tests were performed to aid in soil classification and to evaluate the plasticity characteristics of the material. Additionally, test results were correlated to published data to evaluate the shrink/swell potential of near-surface site soils. Tests were performed in general accordance with AASHTO T 90.

Moisture/Density Relationship

Standard proctor tests were performed on bulk soil samples to evaluate maximum compacted dry density and optimum moisture content. Test procedures were in general accordance with ARIZ 225.

Direct Shear

Direct shear tests were performed on selected undisturbed soil samples to evaluate the strength parameters of the site soils. The direct shear tests were performed over the range of expected normal loading.

Resistivity and pH

Resistivity and pH tests were performed to evaluate the corrosive potential of the site soils. Tests were performed in general accordance with ADOT Test Method 236.



Sulfate and Chloride

Sulfate and Chloride tests were performed to evaluate the corrosive potential of site soils toward Portland cement concrete. Tests were performed in general accordance with California Test Methods 417 and 422 (sulfate and chloride, respectively).

Consolidation

Consolidation tests were performed on selected undisturbed samples to evaluate the settlement potential of the site soils when subjected to typical foundation loads and wetting.

Agronomy

Agronomy testing for topsoil was performed on selected bulk samples to determine amendments recommended for growth of grasses in channels and basins.



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

REVIEWED BY:

M. CONNOLLY

PROJECT NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO: 04235

DATE SAMPLED: 8/2 & 8/3/04

MECHANICAL SIEVE ANALYSIS GROUP SYMBOL, USCS (ASTM D-2487)

SIEVE SIZES

					сов	BLES				GR.	AVEL				SAND				_	Silt or				
								Co	arse			Fir	e		Co	агѕе		Mediu	m		Fine		Clay	
Location & Depth	USCS	LL	PL	ΡI	6"	4"	3"	2"	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	1/4"	#4	#8	#10	#16	#30	#40	#50	#100	#200	Lab#

PERCENT PASSING BY WEIGHT

B-1 @ 0-1.5	CL	27	16	11	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	97	96	93	87	83	79	72	64	1
B-1 @ 15-16.5	CL	37	16	21	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	95	94	90	82	76	70	60	52	4
B-2 @ 15-16.5	SC	22	12	10	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	97	97	95	87	83	70	49	40	32	22	17	9
B-3 @ 15-16	CL	37	18	19	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	98	96	95	93	86	82	76	66	56	14
B-4 @ 0-1.5	CL	29	15	14	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	97	93	90	87	82	74	16
B-4 @ 15-16.5	CL	36	19	17	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	96	95	93	86	81	76	66	56	19
B-5 @ 0-1.5	CL-ML	24	18	6	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	98	98	95	90	98	82	72	59	21
B-5 @ 10-11	SP-SM	ΝV	2 Z	NΡ	100	100	100	100	100	100	97	93	89	84	81	72	69	62	47	39	29	15	10	23
B-6 @ 5-6.5	CL	31	22	9	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	96	95	92	87	84	80	70	57	27
B-7 @ 5-6.5	CL	38	20	18	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	98	93	90	86	77	64	32

1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/25/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

REVIEWED BY:

M. CONNOLLY

PROJECT NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO: 04235

DATE SAMPLED: 8/2 & 8/3/04

MECHANICAL SIEVE ANALYSIS GROUP SYMBOL, USCS (ASTM D-2487)

SIEVE SIZES

				1	COBI	BLES				GRA	AVEL				SAND]	
								Co	arse			Fir	1e		Coa	rse		Mediu	m		Fine		Clay	
Location & Depth	USCS	LL	PL	PI	8″	4"	3"	2"	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	1/4"	#4	#8	#10	#16	#30	#40	#50	#100	#200	Lab #

PERCENT PASSING BY WEIGHT

B-8 @ 5-6.5	sc	26	12	14	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	96	95	91	79	70	63	53	44	37
B-9 @ 5-6	sc	28	17	11	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	98	97	93	92	87	80	76	70	59	47	42
B-6 @ 0-3	CL	28	14	14	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	98	95	93	91	90	86	80	77	73	67	61	56
B-9 @ 3-10	CL	41	15	26	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	98	97	95	94	91	85	81	77	68	60	69
																				-				

PHONE: (480) 763-1200 FAX: (48



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL: SOIL SAMPLES SAMPLE SOURCE: SEE BELOW

PROJECT NO: 47724 WORK ORDER NO: 04235

LAB NO:

REVIEWED BY:

SEE BELOW DATE SAMPLED: 8/2 & 8/3/04 M. CONNOLLY

MOISTURE CONTENT OF SOIL (ASTM D2216)

LAB#	BORING	DEPTH RANGE	uscs	WET WT. (gram)	DRY WT. (gram)	MOISTURE CONTENT
34	B-7	15-16.5	Soil Samples	324.9	299.4	8.5%
38	B-8	10-11.5	Soil Samples	307.3	271.3	13.3%

1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA

ISSUED: 08/25/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE: SEE BELOW

PROJECT NO: 47724 WORK ORDER NO: 04235

LAB NO: SEE BELOW

DATE SAMPLED: 8/2 & 8/3/04 M. CONNOLLY


REVIEWED BY:

DENSITY OF SOIL IN PLACE BY THE DRIVE-CYLINDER METHOD(ASTM D2937)

	-			MOISTURE			WET WGT.	WEIGHT	DRY
LAB#	BORING	Uscs	WET WT. (g)	DRY WT. (g)	MOISTURE CONTENT		+ RINGS (g)	OF RINGS (g)	DENSITY (pcf)
2	B-1 @ 5-6	Soil Sample	803.3	736.2	9.1%	5.0	1,010.6	224.3	119.3
8	B-2 @ 10-11	Soil Sample	460.9	443.5	3.9%	5.0	932.6	231.2	111.8
14	B-3 @ 15-16	CL	379.2	379.2	0.0%	5.0	977.5	224.9	124.6
17	B-4 @ 5-6	Soil Sample	306,1	265.8	15,2%	5.0	914.8	226.2	99.0
23	B-5 @ 10-11	SP-SM	312.6	294.9	6.0%	5.0	949.8	215.8	114.7
29	B-6 @ 15-16	Soil Sample	474.1	446.1	6.3%	6.0	1,119.6	273,4	109.9
42	B -9 @ 5-6	sc	429.7	395.1	8.8%	5.0	945.3	226.8	109.4
48	B-10 @ 10-11	Soil Sample	517.9	485.6	6.7%	6.0	1,170.0	268.5	116.6

1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/25/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN 1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE:

B-2 @ 10-11 SATURATED

SAMPLE PREP.: TARGET:

N/A

JOB NO:

47724

W.O. NUMBER: LAB NO: 04235


DATE SAMPLED:

8/2 & 8/3/04



DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)

Initial thickness of specimen (in.):	1.00		
Initial diameter of specimen (in.):	2.42		
Shearing device used:	Created by DigiS	Shear Version 1.2; C	opyright 2000, GEOTAC
Rate of deformation (in/min):	0.008		. •
Direct shear point:	1	2	3
Dry mass of specimen (g):	124.8	131.6	126.9
Initial Moisture Content:	6.3%	5.1%	6.2%
Initial Wet Density (lb per cu.ft):	109.8	114.5	111.6
Initial Dry Density (lb per cu.ft):	103.3	109.0	105.1
Final Moisture Content:	18.7%	17.5%	17.5%
Final Wet Density (lb per cu.ft):	123.5	128.1	124.2
Final Dry Density (lb per cu.ft):	104.1	109.7	105.9
Normat Stress (kips per sq. ft):	0.50	1.00	2.00
Maximum Shearing Stress (kips per sq. ft):	0.66	1.13	2.21
Vertical Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	-0.007	-0.001	-0.006
Horizontal Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	0.449	0.483	0.326



1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/25/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



PROJECT: REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION: 1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL: SOIL SAMPLES
SAMPLE SOURCE: B-2 @ 10-11

SAMPLE PREP.: SATURATED

NORMAL LOADS (ksf): 0.5 1 2


JOB NO: 47724 WORK ORDER NO: 04235


LAB NO: 8

DATE SAMPLED: 8/2 & 8/3/04



DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)





1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA

ISSUED: 08/25/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN 1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE: SAMPLE PREP.: B-4 @ 5-6 SATURATED

TARGET:

N/A

JOB NO:

47724

W.O. NUMBER:


04235

LAB NO: DATE SAMPLED: 17 8/2 & 8/3/04

20

DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)

Initial thickness of specimen (in.):	1.00		
Initial diameter of specimen (in.):	2.42		
Shearing device used:	Created by Digi	Shear Version 1.2; C	opyright 2000, GEOTAC
Rate of deformation (in/min):	0.008		
Direct shear point:	1	2	3
Dry mass of specimen (g):	112.9	110.9	113.8
Initial Moisture Content:	13.5%	16.1%	16.3%
Initial Wet Density (Ib per cu.ft):	106.1	106.6	109.6
Initial Dry Density (lb per cu.ft):	93.5	91.9	94.3
Final Moisture Content:	29.4%	31.0%	28.2%
Final Wet Density (lb per cu.ft):	119.9	119.1	119.8
Final Dry Density (lb per cu.ft):	92.7	91.1	93.5
Normal Stress (kips per sq. ft):	0.50	1.00	2.00
Maximum Shearing Stress (kips per sq. ft):	0.55	1.06	1.87
Vertical Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	0.008	0.010	0.009
Horizontal Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	0.098	0.101	0.087



1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/26/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

1

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE:

B-4 @ 5-6

SAMPLE PREP .:

SATURATED

NORMAL LOADS (ksf):

0.5

2

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO:

04235

LAB NO:


17


DATE SAMPLED:

8/2 & 8/3/04



DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)





1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/26/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200 FAX: (480) 763-1212



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN
1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE: SAMPLE PREP.:

B-6 @ 15-16 SATURATED

TARGET:

N/A

JOB NO:

47724 04235

W.O. NUMBER: LAB NO:

29


DATE SAMPLED:

8/2 & 8/3/04



DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)

Initial thickness of specimen (in.):	1.00		
Initial diameter of specimen (in.):	2.42		
Shearing device used:	Created by Digis	Shear Version 1.2; C	Copyright 2000, GEOTA
Rate of deformation (in/min):	0.008		,,,
Direct shear point:	1	2	3
Dry mass of specimen (g):	126.6	126.2	128.0
Initial Moisture Content:	7.9%	9.4%	7.6%
Initial Wet Density (lb per cu.ft):	113.1	114.3	114.1
Initial Dry Density (16 per cu.ft):	104.9	104.5	106.0
Final Moisture Content:	18.9%	22.6%	23.1%
Final Wet Density (lb per cu.ft):	124.3	126.9	127.0
Final Dry Density (lb per cu.ft):	104.5	104.2	105.7
Normal Stress (kips per sq. ft):	0.50	1.00	2.00
Maximum Shearing Stress (kips per sq. ft);	0.48	0.99	1.84
Vertical Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	0.003	0.009	0.027
Horizontal Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	0.399	0.431	0.476



1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/25/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

2

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE:

B-6 @ 15-16

SAMPLE PREP.:

SATURATED

NORMAL LOADS (ksf):

0.5

1

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO:

04235

LAB NO:


29


DATE SAMPLED:

8/2 & 8/3/04



DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)





1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/25/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

MATERIAL

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SC

SAMPLE SOURCE: SAMPLE PREP.:

B-9 @ 5-6 SATURATED

TARGET:

N/A

JOB NO:

47724

W.O. NUMBER:

04235

LAB NO:

42


DATE SAMPLED:

8/2 & 8/3/04



DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)

Initial thickness of specimen (in.):	1.00		
Initial diameter of specimen (in.):	2.42		
Shearing device used:	Created by Digis	Shear Version 1.2; C	Copyright 2000, GEOTAC
Rate of deformation (in/min):	0.008		
Direct shear point:	1	2	3
Dry mass of specimen (g):	132.8	115.9	105.8
Initial Moisture Content:	13.1%	16.3%	17.5%
Initial Wet Density (lb per cu.ft):	124.3	111.5	102.9
Initial Dry Density (lb per cu.ft):	110.0	96.0	87.6
Final Moisture Content:	23.0%	29.0%	33.8%
Final Wet Density (lb per cu.ft):	137.4	123.4	114.3
Final Dry Density (lb per cu.ft):	111.7	97.4	89.0
Normal Stress (kips per sq. ft):	0.50	1.00	2.00
Maximum Shearing Stress (kips per sq. ft):	1.28	1.06	1.75
Vertical Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	-0.016	0.003	0.025
Horizontal Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	0.054	0.115	0.457



1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA

ISSUED: 08/26/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

2

MATERIAL:

SC

SAMPLE SOURCE: SAMPLE PREP.: B-9 @ 5-6

NORMAL LOADS (ksf):

SATURATED 0.5

.

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO:

04235

LAB NO:


42


DATE SAMPLED:

8/2 & 8/3/04

De

DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)





1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA

ISSUED: 08/26/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE: SAMPLE PREP.:

B-10 @ 10-11 SATURATED

TARGET:

N/A

JOB NO:

47724

W.O. NUMBER:

04235

LAB NO:

48


DATE SAMPLED:

8/2 & 8/3/04

20

DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)

Initial thickness of specimen (in.):	1.00		
Initial diameter of specimen (in.):	2.42		
Shearing device used:	Created by Digis	Shear Version 1.2; C	Copyright 2000, GEOTAC
Rate of deformation (in/min):	0.008		.,
Direct shear point:	1	2	3
Dry mass of specimen (g):	128.9	130.8	135.8
Initial Moisture Content:	9.6%	11.3%	10.0%
Initial Wet Density (lb per cu.ft):	117.0	120.5	123.6
Initial Dry Density (lb per cu.ft):	106.8	108.3	112.5
Final Moisture Content:	9.6%	22.2%	20.1%
Final Wet Density (lb per cu.ft):	118.0	134.8	135.0
Final Dry Density (lb per cu.ft):	107.6	109.2	113,4
Normal Stress (kips per sq. ft):	0.50	1.00	2.00
Maximum Shearing Stress (kips per sq. ft):	1.18	1.51	2.09
Vertical Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	-0.008	-0.019	0.001
Horizontal Deformation @ Max Shear (in):	0.119	0.339	0.080



1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/26/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



PROJECT: REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION: 1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL: SAMPLE SOURCE: SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE PREP.:

B-10 @ 10-11 SATURATED

NORMAL LOADS (ksf):

0.5

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO:

04235

LAB NO:


48


DATE SAMPLED:

8/2 & 8/3/04



DIRECT SHEAR TEST OF SOILS UNDER CONSOLIDATED DRAINED CONDITIONS(ASTM D3080)





1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/26/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN 1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

REIVEWED BY:

SAMPLE SOURCE: B-1 @ 5-6

M. CONNOLLY

PROJECT NO: 47724

WORK ORDER NO 04235


LAB NO:

DATE SAMPLED: 8/2 & 8/3/04

2

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION PROPERTIES OF SOILS (ASTM D2435)

INITIAL VOLUME (cu.in) 4.60 FINAL VOLUME (cu.in) 4.50 INITIAL MOISTURE CONTENT 9.5% FINAL MOISTURE CONTENT 14.8% INITIAL DRY DENSITY(pcf) 118.5 FINAL DRY DENSITY(pcf) 120.7 INITIAL DEGREE OF SATURATION 60% FINAL DEGREE OF SATURATION 101% INITIAL VOID RATIO 0.4 FINAL VOID RATIO 0.4 ESTIMATED SPECIFIC GRAVITY 2.700 SATURATED AT 1tsf



1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/26/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN 1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

LOCATION: MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE: B-5 @ 10-11 REIVEWED BY:

M. CONNOLLY

PROJECT NO: 47724


WORK ORDER NO 04235

LAB NO: 23

DATE SAMPLED: 8/2 & 8/3/04

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION PROPERTIES OF SOILS (ASTM D2435)

INITIAL VOLUME (cu.in) INITIAL MOISTURE CONTENT INITIAL DRY DENSITY(pcf) INITIAL DEGREE OF SATURATION INITIAL VOID RATIO ESTIMATED SPECIFIC GRAVITY	4.60 6.8% 108.9 39% 0.4 2.500	FINAL VOLUME (cu.in) FINAL MOISTURE CONTENT FINAL DRY DENSITY(pcf) FINAL DEGREE OF SATURATION FINAL VOID RATIO SATURATED AT	4.50 15.9% 110.8 98% 0.4 1tsf
ESTIMATED SPECIFIC GRAVITY	2.500	SATURATED AT	1tsf



1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/26/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



PROJECT: REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN LOCATION:

MATERIAL: SOIL SAMPLES SAMPLE SOURCE: B-1 @ 3-10


JOB NO: 47724 WORK ORDER NO: 04235 1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA LAB NO: 61

> SAMPLE DATE: 8/2 & 8/3/04

LABORATORY COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOILS USING STANDARD EFFORTS (12,400ft-lb-ft/cu.ft) (ASTMD698A)


CURVE: 04235-61 Maximum dry density: Optimum moisture (%):

English	Metric
(pcf)	(kg/ cu.m.)
123.5	1979
10.9	10.9



NOTES:

- The zero air void curve represents a specific gravity of: 2.65 (assumed).
- This is a summarized report of the referenced procedures and does not include all reporting requirements. Additional data can be provided at clients request.



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

MATERIAL:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

SAMPLE SOURCE: B-4 @ 3-10

SOIL SAMPLES

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO:

04235

LAB NO:


SAMPLE DATE:

8/2 & 8/3/04

LABORATORY COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOILS USING STANDARD EFFORTS (12,400ft-lb-ft/cu.ft) (ASTMD698A)

CURVE: 04235-64 Maximum dry density: Optimum moisture (%):

English	Metric
(pcf)	(kg/ cu.m.)
116.1	1859
14.3	14.3



NOTES:

- The zero air void curve represents a specific gravity of: 2.6 (assumed).
- This is a summarized report of the referenced procedures and does not include all reporting requirements. Additional data can be provided at clients request.



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE: B-5 @ 3-10

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO:

04235

LAB NO:

65


SAMPLE DATE:

8/2 & 8/3/04

LABORATORY COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOILS USING STANDARD EFFORTS (12,400ft-lb-ft/cu.ft) (ASTMD698A)

CURVE: 04235-65 Maximum dry density: Optimum maisture (%):

English	Metric
(pcf)	(kg/ cu.m.)
120,8	1936
13.0	13.0



NOTES:

- The zero air void curve represents a specific gravity of: 2.65 (assumed).
- This is a summarized report of the referenced procedures and does not include all reporting requirements. Additional data can be provided at clients request.



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

CL

SAMPLE SOURCE:

B-9 @ 3-10

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO:

04235

LAB NO:

69

SAMPLE DATE:


8/2 & 8/3/04

LABORATORY COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOILS USING STANDARD EFFORTS (12,400ft-lb-ft/cu.ft) (ASTMD698A)

CURVE: 04235-69 Maximum dry density:

Optimum moisture (%):

Metric
(kg/ cu.m.)
1828
15.1



NOTES:

- The zero air void curve represents a specific gravity of: 2.65 (assumed).
- This is a summarized report of the referenced procedures and does not include all reporting requirements. Additional data can be provided at clients request.



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

LOCATION:

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

SOIL SAMPLES

SAMPLE SOURCE: B-10 @ 3-10

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO:

04235

LAB NO:

70


SAMPLE DATE:

8/2 & 8/3/04

LABORATORY COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOILS USING STANDARD EFFORTS (12,400ft-lb-ft/cu.ft) (ASTMD698A)

CURVE: 04235-70 Maximum dry density: Optimum moisture (%):

English	Metric
(pcf)	(kg/ cu.m.)
114.6	1836
13.6	13.6



NOTES:

- The zero air void curve represents a specific gravity of: 2.6 (assumed).
- This is a summarized report of the referenced procedures and does not include all reporting requirements. Additional data can be provided at clients request.



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN 1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL: SEE BELOW SAMPLE SOURCE: SEE BELOW

PROJECT NO: 47724 WORK ORDER NO: 04235

LAB NO: SEE BELOW DATE SAMPLED: 8/2 & 8/3/04 REVIEWED BY: M. CONNOLLY




Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soils using the One-Point method AASHTO T 272-86 (2000)

LAB NO	SAMPLE SOURCE	MATERIAL	Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture (%)
62	B-2 @ 3-10	SOIL SAMPLES	139.7	10.1
66	B-6 @ 3-10	SOIL SAMPLES	138.2	11.8

1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 9/21/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

MATERIAL:

SEE BELOW SAMPLE SOURCE: SEE BELOW

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

PROJECT NO: WORK ORDER NO: 04235

47724

LAB NO:

SEE BELOW DATE SAMPLED: 8/2 & 8/3/04

REVIEWED BY:

M. CONNOLLY

PH & RESISTIVITY (AZ 236)

LAB NO	SAMPLE SOURCE	MATERIAL	RESISTIVITY (Ohm-cm)	рН	
61	B-1 @ 3-10	SOIL SAMPLES	903	0.8	
63	B-3 @ 3-10	SOIL SAMPLES	1,966	7.9	
65	B-5 @ 3-10	SOIL SAMPLES	1,550	8.1	
66	B-6 @ 3-10	SOIL SAMPLES	848	7.9	
68	B-8 @ 3-10	SOIL SAMPLES	1,074	8.0	

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

Soil Samples

SAMPLE SOURCE: B-1

DEPTH: 3-10

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO: 04235

LAB NO:

61

TESTED BY:

IAS LABORATORIES

ANALYSES RESULTS

ANALYSIS	RESULTS	UNITS				
SULFATE	49	ppm				
CHLORIDE	45	ppm				



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL:

Soil Samples

SAMPLE SOURCE: B-5

DEPTH: 3-10

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO: 04235

LAB NO:

TESTED BY:

IAS LABORATORIES

ANALYSES RESULTS

ANALYSIS	RESULTS	UNITS				
SULFATE	27	ppm				
CHLORIDE	13	ppm				

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



REEMS ROAD CHANNEL & BASIN

1/2 MILE SOUTH OF OLIVE TO PEORIA

MATERIAL: Soil Samples

SAMPLE SOURCE: B-8

DEPTH: 3-10

JOB NO:

47724

WORK ORDER NO: 04235

LAB NO:

68

TESTED BY:

IAS LABORATORIES Dan_

ANALYSES RESULTS

ANALYSIS	RESULTS	UNITS				
SULFATE	46	mqq				
CHLORIDE	38	med				

1335 WEST AUTO DRIVE, TEMPE, ARIZONA ISSUED: 08/26/2004

PHONE: (480) 763-1200



IAS Laboratories

2515 East University Drive Phoenix, Arizona 85034 (602) 273-7248

SOIL ANALYSIS REPORT

Page 1

Today's Date:

8/17/2004

Grower:

47724-04235 FCD

Submitted By:

Sabine Guedamour

Send Report To:

KLEINFELDER

Report Number:

6624231

Crop:

No Interpretive Levels

Date Received:

8/12/2004

VL = Very Low

L = Low
M = Medium
H = High

VH = Very High

Sender Sample Id	Depth	Lab#	pН	Calcium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potash (K)	Iron (Fe)	Zinc (Zn)	Manganese (Mn)	Copper (Cu)	Salinity (EC x K) dS/m	Nitrate Nitrogen (NO3-N)	Phosphorus (Bicarb - Soluble P)	Computed % Sodium	Sulfur (SO4-S)	Boron (B)	Free Lime Level
				PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM		PPM	PPM	(ESP)	PPM	PPM	
₿6	0-3	399	7.9	5600 VH	430 VH	270 H	350 VH	15.0 VH	1.00 M	9.0 VH	2.1 VH	5.4 VH	300.0 VH	12.0 M	3.5	150 VH	47 L	High
B9	3-10	400	8.6	7500 VH	370 VH	170 M	190 H	49.0 VH	.31 L	32.0 VH	1.1 VH	1.0 L	23.0 H	7.4 L	1.8	49 VH	.23 VL	High



IAS Laboratories

2515 East University Drive Phoenix, Arizona 85034 (602) 273-7248

SOIL FERTILITY RECOMMENDATIONS

Lb/1000 Sq Ft

Grower: 47724-04235 FCD

Send To: KLEINFELDER

Report No: 6624231

Date: 8/12/2004

Page: 2

				_					_	_		AM	<u>ENDM</u> ENTS	;	
Sender	Crop	Nitrogen	Phosphate	Potash	Magnesium	Sulfur	Iron	Zinc	Manganese	Copper	Boron	Elemental	Gypsum	Lime	Leaching of
Number		N	P2O5	K20	Mg	s_	Fe	Zn	Mn	Cu	В	Sulfur			Excess Salts
B1	No Interpretive Levels												_		
B5	No Interpretive Levels												_		
B8	No Interpretive Levels														
B6	Turf _	excess	1 b								h				yes*
B9	Turf	1 a	2 b	_					excess		h	20**			

^{*}Total salinity (EC) levels are high enough to deter turfgrass growth. Leach to remove excess salts.

- a. Currently adequate. Apply this amount about every 4 weeks. The B6 sample has excessive N and will reduce growth due to salinity.
- b Apply phosphorus as a blend or as triple superphosphate or as MAP. Phosphorus is most efficient when applied following aerating to work the P into the soil near the plant roots.

The Mn level is very high on the B9 sample and could reduce growth of turf.

h. Irrigation should give enough B for turf. However, it wouldn't hurt to test the water to be sure.

^{**}Till sulfur into the soil to reduce pH. When turf is already established about the best you can do is to work it in when verticutting or aerating. Disposul, a 95 sulfur product, dissolves readily and can be used. After sulfur addition, Leach by irrigating with large amounts of water to push sodium below rootzone.